

**VIRGINIA:** At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Patrick, held at the Patrick County Administration Building thereof on Monday, September 13, 2004 at 2:00 p.m.

**PRESENT:** Crystal P. Harris, Chairperson; H. Danny Foley, Sr., Vice Chairman; Darrell Cockerham, Roger L. Martin, and David G. Young, Board Members; Regena H. Handy, County Administrator; Michael Burnette, Assistant County Administrator; Eric Helms Monday, County Attorney; and Mary Beth Roberson, Assistant to the County Administrator.

The Chairperson called the meeting to order.

The Board called for a Moment of Silence. The Chairperson noted that the Federal Court of Appeals ruled that a council or board could not invoke the name of Jesus Christ during prayer; therefore, the Board would begin the meeting with a Moment of Silence instead of a prayer.

The Board recited the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag, followed by a Moment of Silence in honor of U. S. military personnel serving abroad.

On motion by David G. Young, seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the agenda for the meeting was approved.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

On motion by David G. Young, seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the minutes of the August 9, 2004 meeting were approved.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

This day came James Tobin, Executive Director, Piedmont Community Services Board, to discuss matters pertaining to Patrick County's allocation to Piedmont Community Services. The County Administrator stated that the Board had discussed the performance contract relating to Community Services at the August Board Meeting and that there were some questions concerning that program and Patrick County's allocation. She said that she invited Mr. Tobin to come and give a brief presentation of what his department offers and to answer any questions that the Board may have. Mr. Tobin advised that Piedmont Community Services (PCS) is the agent of Patrick, Henry, and Franklin Counties and the City of Martinsville for the planning, coordination and operation of a comprehensive community system of mental health, mental retardation and substance abuse services. He noted that Patrick County's representatives include Susan Corbett, Vivian Joyce, and Jean Gilley. Mr. Tobin reported that PCS's main office, which is located in Stuart, currently has eleven full-time staff members, the Horizons Office in Patrick Springs employs four full-time positions, and there are two apartments and a group home in Vesta. He stated that the agency's main challenges include: office building to meet current and projected needs; increased medical time, especially for children; and semi-independent housing. He advised that the Code of Virginia now requires the PCS Board to present the performance agreement to the Board of Supervisors for its approval. He noted that the Board's approval of this agreement does not create any contractual obligations or a contractual relationship; this is an opportunity

for the Board to make comments about the agreement. Mr. Tobin provided the following information:

Analysis of FY 04-05 Local Match

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Adjusted</u>		<u>Population</u>		<u>People Served</u>	
Patrick Co.	\$72,130	\$32,817	14%	19,407	14%	471	12%
Henry Co.	\$115,741	\$100,936	44%	57,930	41%	1,660	42%
Franklin Co.	\$45,961	\$50,961	22%	47,286	34%	1,126	28%
Martinsville	\$48,678	\$44,638	20%	15,416	11%	738	18%
	<u>\$282,510</u>	<u>\$229,352</u>		<u>140,039</u>		<u>3,995</u>	

<u>Martinsville City</u>	<u>Henry County</u>	<u>Franklin County</u>
+\$12,500 Drug Task Force	+\$12,500 Drug Task Force	+\$5,000 Additional 05 Allocation
+\$25,000 Jail Services	-\$19,608 MARC	
-\$4,040 W.C. Ham	-\$5,122 W.C. Ham	
	-\$2,575 Stepping Stones	

Patrick County Allocation: \$72,130

Piedmont Community Services

Mental Health	\$23,378
Infant-Parent	\$4,285
Substance Abuse	\$3,571
	\$31,313
Administration	<u>\$9,583</u>
Subtotal	\$40,817
Behavior Specialist	<u>-\$8,000</u>
Subtotal	\$32,817

Development Center

Technical Assistance	\$1,197
PCDC	<u>\$30,116</u>
Subtotal	

Local Government Tax Appropriations FY 2005:

Martinsville City	\$48,678
Patrick County	\$72,130
Henry County	\$115,741
Franklin County	<u>\$45,961</u>
Total Local Government Tax Funds:	\$282,510

Mr. Tobin noted that each of the four localities have different budgeting processes; therefore, it is difficult to compare these "apples and apples". He stated that column two in the Analysis of FY 04-05 Local Match chart is an attempt to compare the allocations. He advised that the number of people served reflects the individuals who PCS has complete data sets for; it does not include individuals who contact the organization on a crisis basis. The Chairperson asked how many employees are at the group home in Vesta. Mr. Tobin reported that there are approximately seven full-time employees because of safety and licensing issues. Mr. Martin expressed his concern that with these tax-supported organizations it seems that the need will increase to meet the amount of money available and that increasing services costs more money. He asked who is going to help the elderly pay for their heating oil this winter. He said that the cost of government is going up and the ability to pay by the taxpayers is going down. Mr. Martin advised that there has got to be some attention given somewhere along the line to the total picture, where everybody fits, and not each individual entity trying to get more and more. He felt the Board needed to ask what is the capacity of the taxpayers to pay. Mr. Tobin said that he shares those concerns and that PCS has not requested any additional funds from Patrick County for the last several years. He stated that there are a lot of hardships in area communities and those hardships bring individuals to their organization. Mr. Young said that he has no concerns after seeing the breakdown of the numbers. The Chairperson said that there are probably more people in the community who need these services who are not currently utilizing them. Mr. Tobin reported that his agency works extensively with schools, social services, and courts, all of whom would like PCS to have a larger staff and the ability to offer more services; however, PCS does not want to create a tax burden on the community. He explained that this proposed contract establishes performance numbers in terms of dollars and number of people served between PCS and the Virginia Department of Mental Health. Mr. Young asked what will happen if the Board does not approve this contract. Mr. Tobin advised that if this network of services were eliminated, a lot

of families in this community would be in trouble. Mr. Young stated that by law, the County would have to find someone to administer these services. The Chairperson advised that these funds were included in the budget. The County Administrator has reviewed the contract and programs and responsibilities of the Piedmont Community Services Board. She advised the contract was basically the same as in prior years and recommended approval of same.

On motion by David G. Young, seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the Board does hereby approve the proposed FY 2004 – 2005 Piedmont Community Services Performance Contract with the Virginia Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services, as reviewed and outlined at this meeting.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

This day came Chad Foxx, Wildlife Biologist with the USDA Wildlife Program, to discuss matters pertaining to the coyote population in Patrick County. He explained that this is a Federal program, that there are a total of twenty employees in Virginia, and that this program addresses wildlife problems and damages throughout the state. He noted that farmers in the western part of the state have been dealing with the coyote population for years. He said that coyotes have migrated across all of the eastern U.S. from the west over the last 100 years and they began affecting Virginia's livestock in the mid 1980's. He reported that every eastern state now has coyotes. Mr. Foxx advised that lobbying groups, such as the Virginia Sheep Federation, got together and lobbied Congress, which led to the creation of the Virginia Cooperative Coyote Damage Control Program in 1990. He stated that the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and the USDA fund the program and that the sheep producers pay a tax when they sell sheep and lambs in Virginia of which a portion is used to fund the program. Mr. Foxx said that this program has been in existence for fourteen years and there are three biologists who cover all of the counties west of the Blue Ridge Mountains; he noted that in recent years, farmers who raise calves have also reported damages. He advised that their agency is receiving more and more reports of damages from this area and that the three biologists who work in the western part of the state cover about 130 to 170 farms per year. He stated that coyote problems are dealt with by using: (1) corrective control: traps, snares, cyanide device (M44), and toxic collars; or (2) preventative control: removing coyotes from the property before they become a problem. Mr. Foxx noted that his agency has a long history of working with some of the same farms every year. He advised that ninety percent of the time, coyotes will bite the throat region and suffocate an animal, a hole is then made behind the ribcage and the coyotes will then begin to eat the animal. He noted that dogs tend to just kill or wound an animal. He reported that when this program began, the average sheep farmer was losing over fifteen sheep per year, that number has dropped to approximately two sheep lost per farm.

Mr. Foxx advised that the program has had some funding problems due to the state budget crisis; the State's portion of this program will be eliminated at the end of this budget year if no changes are made. He noted that farmers have requested help from Congressman Boucher's office, but his office has not been responsive. He informed the Board that his agency has applied for Tobacco Indemnification money and if granted, trapping equipment will be purchased and used by a trapper who would be employed by the county. Mr. Foxx advised that the County could work with the cattle associations in creating a head tax to help fund that position. Mr. Foley stated that he had been told that coyotes kill beagles. Mr. Foxx said that coyotes will kill cats and small dogs and that they are opportunists. Mr. Martin stated that he had spent twenty some years out west in the park service and that his experience was that many sheep herders would report that their sheep were killed by coyotes; however many of the deaths were not the result of coyote attacks and felt that there was a lot of dishonesty involved in that program. He said that a lot of people in this area do not take care of their livestock to the extent that they should and that dogs cause a lot of the predation. Mr. Foxx said that there is a lot of dog predation in Virginia; from what he has seen, the leash law is rarely enforced in this state. He advised that biologists examine the teeth marks on the carcass to determine if a coyote killed

the animal. Mr. Martin noted that this program encompasses a quarter million dollar budget to reduce predation from 70,000 to 27,000. Mr. Foxx stated that if the program were not in place, the damage costs would skyrocket. He advised that a cost benefit analysis was completed and found that \$10 was saved for every \$1 spent. Mr. Martin said that he doubts that there are 500 sheep in Patrick County. Mr. Foxx stated that there are very few sheep in this part of the state and that he is here to discuss the increasing problems to cattle. Mr. Martin advised that farmers need to take better care of their livestock, a lot of different aspects need to be looked at, we have a tendency to absolve every human being of guilt, and that we blame coyotes and dogs. Mr. Foxx said that their program does a lot more than just removing problem animals; they are involved in a lot of educational programs for farmers, which address issues such as fencing and guard animals. The County Administrator asked about the estimated coyote population in Patrick County. Mr. Foxx reported that no totals are available for each county but that hunters kill approximately 6,000 to 8,000 coyotes per year statewide. He advised that the state estimates a population of 20,000 coyotes for the State of Virginia; however, it is his opinion that the total number is 30,000 to 50,000. He noted that counties in this part of the state definitely have more coyotes than the eastern counties. Mr. Foley stated that the farmers in his area have been reporting problems with the coyotes and asked if there is any grant money to help with this issue. Mr. Foxx advised that there is no funding available to pay farmers for loss of livestock. Mr. Foley asked if any money is available for the control program. Mr. Foxx stated that the control program is all that currently exists and is restricted to the west of the Blue Ridge. He advised that some counties have gone to a bounty system, which is flawed due to the possibility of hunters turning in coyotes from surrounding counties. Mr. Foley noted that Mr. Foxx had stated that Congressman Boucher would be the representative to contact about additional funding. Mr. Foxx reported that Congressman Goodlatte went to bat for his people in the 6<sup>th</sup> District and there is now funding in that area for this problem. Mr. Martin asked if 1080 is still used. Mr. Foxx advised that it is used in the livestock protection collars. Mr. Young stated that it is legal to shoot coyotes except on Sundays. Mr. Foxx advised that there is a year around hunting season for coyotes and it is legal to shoot them at night.

Elizabeth Via Kolinski appeared before the Board and requested the opportunity to ask Mr. Foxx some questions concerning coyotes. She asked if coyotes are more likely to attack female dogs as opposed to male dogs, to which Mr. Foxx replied that they were not. Ms. Kolinski asked how to tell the difference between a dog kill and a coyote kill. Mr. Foxx advised that almost always, coyotes would bite the throat and the teeth marks will be 1.5 inches apart; if the animal is bitten all over, especially from the rear and if nothing is eaten, it is probably a dog attack. He said to look at the throat and to call him if there is any question. Ms. Kolinski asked if Mr. Foxx has a video or any type of information that the public can obtain. Mr. Foxx said that he has books available. Ms. Kolinski asked at what age calves are most vulnerable. Mr. Foxx advised that once the calf is up and running around, predation drops drastically, however, coyotes have killed 500-pound heifers, though rarely. Ms. Kolinski said since all of the state funding for this program is gone, was it now the county's responsibility to address this issue. Mr. Foxx said that is correct; he then gave out his phone number, which is 540-381-7387, so that he could be reached by anyone who needed additional information.

This day came Denny Alley to discuss matters pertaining to the Patrick County Music Association. He noted that he had come before the Board last year and he wanted to give an update on this effort. Mr. Alley presented the Board with pictures from some of the events that were held. He advised that approximately 100 people attended the first event about a year ago; the audience has continued to grow with every event. He stated that the Music Association is having a problem with parking space and seating capacity at the shows and that they bring 350 to 400 people per month into the county. Mr. Alley reported that the association donates the kitchen space to non-profit organizations to use for fundraisers during their events. He stated that Hospice had raised approximately \$800 and the Girl Scouts brought in around \$550. He advised that donating this space has helped alleviate some of the financial burden on the community; the

association also brings in people who spend money in the county and may even relocate to Patrick County one day. He stated that numerous successful musicians have come back to Patrick County and donated their time during these events and that volunteers staff this organization, which entertains the taxpayers in Patrick County free of charge. Mr. Alley noted that there has been great media coverage through W.H.E.O. Radio Station and The Enterprise; he invited the Board to attend the upcoming events. He said that in an effort to accommodate the large audience, the Association is looking at holding the events at another location or renovating the large metal building at Rotary Field. He noted that the metal building at Rotary Field is not being used to the extent that it could be and that the Association sees it as being a "diamond in the rough" that has not been developed. He said that the County needs a facility with central air, good heat, nice restroom facilities, good acoustics, and a nice atmosphere. Mr. Alley reported that the building has a seating capacity of 800 and that it would take some money to bring this facility up to standards, such as installing a sprinkler system; he handed out plans that were drawn by Architect Roger Beasley for the proposed renovation of that building. He noted that these plans are not detailed but do include a stage area, dressing rooms, balcony, restrooms, and a change of the facade. Mr. Alley stated that he was not asking for money but exploring every route to find the money for this project. He said he met with Delegate Ward Armstrong and the Tobacco Indemnification Commission and noted that Governor Baliles has given him some grant information. He stated that the Association would like to advertise these events in the surrounding areas, but there is not a lot of future in advertising and inviting people to come when there is nowhere for them to sit. Mr. Alley thanked the Board for its help in the past and hopes that the Board and the Association can continue to support each other in the future. He advised that their goal is to draw people into Patrick County to spend money, hope that they like what they see, and will come back. He stated that the cost estimate, which is \$525,000 to \$575,000, is the highest estimate possible because it was presented without detailed plans. The Chairperson asked if the Music Association has been in contact with the Rotary Club concerning this building. Mr. Alley advised that the Rotary Club is aware of this proposal and that both organizations have met to discuss this. He said that they have looked at sites and buildings that are owned by the County, however, none of those options meet the needs of the events. Mr. Young stated that the County owns a considerable amount of land on Route 40 known as the I.C. DeHart property, which would be ideal for these events. Mr. Alley said that the Association is not asking the County to pay \$500,000, that the Rotary Club is willing to commit 1/3 of that money, and that Delegate Ward Armstrong believes that there is State funds available for this project. Mr. Young questioned whether or not the State is willing to spend tax money on a building that is owned by someone other than the County. Mr. Alley noted that the County currently leases the building. Mr. Cockerham asked about the status of a possible Tobacco Indemnification grant. Mr. Alley advised that he had spoken with Bernie Day, Tobacco Commission member, and he will be forwarding these cost figures to him. He noted that the site, building, water, sewer, and parking already exists at Rotary and that many people use the metal building because it is the only one available in Patrick County. He stated that this area does not have a humanitarian center, convention center, or any building that could be used to host arts, theater or music events. Mr. Alley advised that in order to bring in a top act group for a Saturday night concert, a one thousand seat facility is needed; once a facility is available, tickets can then be sold. He reported that there is a tremendous amount of support for the Association; the community has been behind it from the beginning. He said that with a combination of help from the County, State, Rotary Club, and Tobacco Indemnification Commission, Patrick County might be able to have something nice that no one else has. Mr. Foley asked about the parking capacity at Rotary Field. Mr. Alley advised that the top parking lot is full when 300 to 400 people attend the events; the lower field has not yet been used for parking. He noted that the proposed building design can be changed and that many businesses and families may donate money and materials, which will help to lower the cost estimate. He said that the Association has had a lot of support through Letters to the Editor in the local newspaper, the events have been advertised in several magazines and newspapers, and that it will be included in the Back Roads Magazine, which has approximately 60,000 subscribers. Mr. Young suggested that Nancy Lindsey and Jamie Clark, members of the local

press, travel to Willis Gap to observe the good program and dancing that is held every Friday night. The Chairperson said that the events that are hosted by the Music Association are good, but she does not know if Rotary is the right location. Mr. Alley noted that there are ten other gym facilities in Patrick County but no humanitarian facility for arts, theater, or music. Mr. Young suggested using the Patrick County High School Auditorium, which seats 784 people, is air-conditioned, and has a stage. Mr. Alley advised that the Association would have to work with the school system at a heavy expense and that they would not be able to use the auditorium on a monthly basis. He stated that holding the events at schools would create a school atmosphere instead of a “down-home, welcome to our community feeling”. Mr. Cockerham asked if the Association has considered traveling to different communities, i.e. using the gym at Blue Ridge Elementary School gym. Mr. Alley noted that they tried to hold an event in the Virginia Motorsports Racing Auditorium; however, the biggest problem in this area is the lack of signage. He said that there are no signs to help tourists find anything; there are no signs for the auditorium at the community college or at Rotary Field. He noted that many people come to Patrick County from other areas and ride around trying to find the event and miss half of the show because they could not find it. Mr. Alley stated that the Association would appreciate a good-will gesture from the Board or an opportunity to come before the Board again in the future to see if the County may be able to match any money that the Association may qualify for. He said that the Association has been asked why there is not a charge for the events and their answer is that “its never been about the money”; they just want to provide good entertainment and to show the community that their hearts are in this project. The County Attorney asked Mr. Alley if the Patrick County Music Association is a corporation. Mr. Alley said that they are a corporation and non-profit. Mr. Monday asked if they are a Virginia non-stock, non-profit organization. Mr. Alley said that they are and that they have been assigned a tax identification number. Mr. Young asked if the General Assembly could give money to a non-profit organization. Mr. Monday said that they could give money to a private, non-stock, non-profit organization.

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by H. Danny Foley, Sr. and carried, the Board does hereby approve the submittal of a letter to Senator Roscoe Reynolds and Delegate Ward Armstrong in their efforts to find funding to build a facility in Patrick County for the Patrick County Music Association.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

The Board recessed for thirty minutes.

The Board reconvened into regular session.

This day came Lisa Price Hughes, Resident Engineer, and Robbie Williams, Assistant Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), to discuss matters pertaining to the primary and secondary road systems in Patrick County.

a. Discussion of Public Hearing at VDOT’s Salem Office: The County Administrator advised that the Annual Six Year Plan Public Hearing would be held in Salem on Tuesday, September 28<sup>th</sup> at 6pm. She said that normally, a member of the Board or the County Administrator attends these hearings to present a list of priorities for the County. She gave the Board of copy of last year’s priority list and asked if they would like to delete or add any items to that list. Mrs. Handy advised that number one on that list, which is the completion of construction of the U.S. 58 Bypass around the Town of Stuart, has been completed and can be removed; number two could now read: continue to participate in the Public-Private Transportation Act Proposal by Branch Highways to fund and construct the four lane improvement of U.S. 58 from west of the proposed Stuart Bypass to I-77 at Hillsville. Mrs. Hughes stated that the public hearing would be conducted via videoconference with the Richmond District. Mrs. Handy stated that she

could attend the hearing and make the presentation if the Board wished. She noted that the Board's approval of this list would be approved during the County Administrator's Report.

b. Schedule Date for Local Six Year Plan Hearing: Mrs. Handy noted that the Board will need to conduct the Six Year Plan Hearing at its next meeting, and advised that according to schedule, it will fall on the Columbus Holiday. She stated that normally when this happens, the Board meets the next business day. Mrs. Hughes advised that VDOT must advertise the public hearing for thirty days. The Board scheduled the October Board Meeting for Monday, October 18, 2004. Mrs. Hughes advised that the cost estimates have been updated, which are based on a projected budget amount for next year. She noted that no money has been set aside for rural additions and that all regular construction allocations are going to Route 614. She reported that the proposed allocations in next year's budget would be used for Route 708 and a small amount for Cherry Creek Road. Mrs. Hughes asked the Board for any questions and stated that this list would be presented at the public hearing.

c. Squirrel Spur Road Update: Discussion ensued regarding the improvements to Squirrel Spur Road. Mr. Martin asked if Branch Highways submitted a bid for this project and noted that they are doing a find job. Mrs. Hughes advised that Branch Highways submitted a proposal and that VDOT then advertised for competing proposals; this was a non-solicited bid process. Mr. Martin asked if anyone else submitted a proposal. Mrs. Hughes stated that she did not think that any other proposals were received. She stated that Squirrel Spur Road is still scheduled to be re-opened the last part of October and the company is still working eleven hours a day, six days a week. Mr. Cockerham asked if all of the blasting would be completed when the road is re-opened. Mrs. Hughes advised that there would still be some blasting to be done after that point, but it would be done at the upper end and would not require closing the road. Mr. Cockerham asked if she knew that dirt is getting ready to slide again. She stated that she did not know that. Mr. Young advised that a large rock has broken loose. Mr. Cockerham recommended that Mrs. Hughes ride out to the site.

d. Route 58 Design Change: Mr. Jerry Webb appeared before the Board regarding a previously requested design change to the Route 58 project. He stated that he could not believe that he had to come back to the Board again concerning the proposed design change in Meadows of Dan. He said that he has been fighting this for four years and advised the Board that Bob Cary, VDOT Location Design/Construction Engineer, sent him a letter stating that he has elected not to make any changes to Route 58 because there is no funding available. He stated that now is the time to make changes because there will not be time to make those changes when the funding becomes available. He said that the letter from Mr. Cary indicated that many more changes might be made on this section of the road. Mr. Webb noted the hard work that the Board, Senator Reynolds, and Delegate Armstrong have put towards this endeavor. He asked the Board to submit another letter and copy it to Senator Reynolds, Delegate Armstrong, the Secretary of Transportation, and to Rob Cary with VDOT stating that this decision is unacceptable. He advised that if VDOT is concerned about funds, the plans do not have to be re-designed now; however, he would like a commitment from VDOT that this change will be made before construction is started. Mr. Martin asked who made this decision. Mr. Webb advised that Rob Cary, VDOT Location Design/Construction Engineer, made that decision. Mr. Martin asked if Mr. Cary gave a reason why he made this decision. Mr. Webb stated that the reason given was that there is no funding available. Mr. Martin asked if that was the only reason. Mr. Webb stated that the lack of funding was the only reason given; he asked the County Administrator if that was her understanding. Mrs. Handy read the following statement from the letter that Mr. Cary submitted, which was given to the Board: "At this point in time, there is no funding identified to build this section of Route 58 and it is unclear as to when funds might be available for this purpose." Mr. Webb advised that funding for this project may become available within the next year or two and it concerns him that there might not be enough time to make the needed changes. He suggested putting a time limit on this request. Mr. Young asked if Mr. Webb formerly worked for VDOT. Mr. Webb stated that he worked for VDOT in Traffic Engineering. Mr. Young asked why VDOT made this decision; he stated that Mr. Webb should understand VDOT's actions more than the Board does due to his prior

employment with that agency. Mr. Webb advised that he does not know why it has to go to this point for just a change on a piece of roadway with this type of support. Mrs. Hughes stated that the fact that there is no money in the budget is not what is prohibiting VDOT from making the change. She advised that this section of the road might not be built for twenty years; therefore, why make changes now and in twenty years go back and adjust for something else. She recommended that this change request wait until VDOT has the required funds and construction is scheduled. Mr. Martin stated that there is no reason why this cannot be planned; a plan costs nothing. Mrs. Hughes advised that Mr. Cary said that he is receptive to doing some kind of a revision, but why do it at this point when there is no construction scheduled and there may be another situation that arises that will need to be addressed. Mr. Martin recommended putting the plan in place so it will not have to be done later and that it sets the tenor. He noted the large amount of tax money spent, and felt this request would cost nothing. He said that this change is an important thing for the community and that a plan should be made and allow for an alternative. Mr. Martin said that Mr. Cary is telling us to "go fly a kite". Mrs. Hughes disagreed and that she believed this was a good decision. She referred to Mr. Cary's comment in the letter that stated: "Given the construction of this project could be well into the future and that the development at the end of this limited access section is likely to be dynamic during the intervening time, it would be most appropriate to evaluate this situation when the project near the post office is able to advance to construction". Mr. Young asked if this whole thing might be enlarged or re-drawn three or four times. Mrs. Hughes stated that it is very possible and that there could be tremendous changes after the bypass is built. She again advised that she agreed with Mr. Cary's decision. Mr. Martin said that technically, he does not agree with him. He noted that VDOT spent all that money to buy houses and people stole everything out of them, and now there is no money to complete the job for twenty years. He said that there is something wrong with this picture. Mrs. Hughes noted that VDOT is not saying this cannot be done; they are saying that there are so many things that can change and to wait closer to the construction date. Mr. Martin said that all he was asking for was a plan, not to build it tomorrow. He suggested putting it in as a plan or an alternative because twenty years from now he, Mr. Webb, and others may not be around and someone may say this was the first that it had been discussed. Mr. Webb stated that they just need a commitment that this change will be made. He said that there might be changes that will need to be made, but this is one in particular that should work with any future development through that area. He advised that there is no reason why it will not work; it works through Patrick Springs, on 58 west from here at Nester's Store, and many other projects from Stuart to Virginia Beach. Mr. Webb stated that there are going to be accidents, there are accidents everyday on every roadway; the main thing is to minimize as many as possible. He stated that due to his involvement in traffic for over thirty years, he knows that this can work and it's the safest way that this road can be built. Mr. Webb reiterated his belief that a commitment from VDOT is needed.

On motion by Roger L. Martin, seconded by H. Danny Foley, Sr. and carried, the Board does hereby approve the submittal of a letter to the Virginia Department of Transportation requesting due consideration be given to the safety of the Meadows of Dan community and to address the provision of a turning lane on Highway 58, as discussed this day.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

e. "Watch for Children" Sign Requests: The Board is this day in receipt of letters of request from Sharon Greer and Brian Barnes for "children at play" signs on a section of Wren Hollow Road. Mrs. Hughes advised that the Code requires Board action before these requests can be considered by VDOT, which has final say in same.

On motion by David G. Young, seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried:

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation requires the concurrence of the local governing body to consider and install "Children Playing" signs, and

WHEREAS, residents of Wren Hollow Road have submitted requests for the installation of "Children Playing" signs, and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOVLED, that the Patrick County Board of Supervisors approves the installation of signs on Wren Hollow Road contingent upon the review and approval of the Virginia Department of Transportation.**

**Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young**

**Voting Nay: None**

**f. Scenic By-Way Request: Mrs. Hughes advised that she and Assistant**

**Resident Engineer Robbie Williams had attended a Community Meeting in Meadows of Dan and that some of the business people showed interest in designating a portion of Highway 58, which is approximately 2.5 miles, as a Scenic By-Way. Mrs. Hughes advised that the Code requires Board action before these requests can be considered by VDOT, which has final say in same.**

**On motion by Roger L. Martin, seconded by H. Danny Foley, Sr. and carried, the Board hereby supports the designation of existing Route 58 at Meadows of Dan as a Scenic By-Way; further, it requests the Virginia Department of Transportation review the request for designation of this portion of roadway through its appropriate means.**

**Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young**

**Voting Nay: None**

**This day came Carolyn Beale, Reynolds Homestead, and Cindy Courter, Patrick County Grant Writer, to discuss matters pertaining to Jamestown 2007 and Tourism.**

**a. Jamestown 2007 Update: Ms. Beale noted that the Board had approved participation in the Jamestown 2007 Partnership several months ago. She advised that the Governor has presented Patrick County with a Certificate of Recognition that states that Patrick County is an important contributor to the Virginia 2007 Community Program. Ms. Beale presented the Board with the certificate and a Jamestown 2007 flag. She advised that Patrick County has been asked to designate the theme of this program, which is "Come Home to Virginia" and "Come Home to Patrick County", on stationary and on web pages. She hopes to have a large family reunion in Patrick County in 2007 and noted that a community wide project needs to be worked on for this celebration, which will need some funding. Ms. Beale suggested hosting an outdoor drama telling the history of Patrick County at an outdoor amphitheater, which she hopes will be built soon.**

**b. Tourism Proposal: Ms. Beale advised that Phase 1 of a tourism plan for Patrick County has been created and that Mr. Young served on the committee that drafted the plan. She stated that the committee has submitted their goals, objectives, action steps, and the suggestions to create a Tourism Authority with a director based on the availability of funds in July 2005. She advised that tourism is a large industry and that the committee is trying "to get Patrick County on the map". She noted that Jeannie Frisco, Special Assistant for Economic Development and Tourism, is working with the Virginia Tourism Corporation on various efforts. Ms. Beale advised that the committee tried to include a representative from every tourist angle in the County. Mr. Young stated that the committee had a very good representative sample of Patrick County, a great number of different walks of life, and many retirees. He said that those representatives have a great deal of experience working with tourists. Ms. Beale advised that a couple had visited Patrick County recently and had eaten at local restaurants, shopped here, and stayed at the Virginian Motel. She stated that the couple loved the area and will visit again. The Chairperson stated that it is good that the couple had a positive experience while visiting Patrick County. Ms. Beale reported that the couple said that everyone had been so helpful during their visit. She advised that the committee would hold a meeting tomorrow and that Mr. Young will be in attendance so the Board can give him any questions or concerns to be brought before the committee.**

**Mr. Foley temporarily left the Boardroom.**

**On motion by David G. Young, seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the Board does hereby approve the placement of the Jamestown 2007 Flag at the Patrick County Courthouse to be flown under the State of Virginia Flag.**

**Voting Aye: Harris, Cockerham, Martin, and Young**  
**Voting Nay: None**  
**Temporarily Absent: Foley**

**Mr. Foley returned to the meeting.**

**This day came Tim Service, Patrick County School System, to discuss matters pertaining to the arts program in Patrick County. Mr. Service advised that he directs chorus at the High School, teaches general music for 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> graders at Woolwine, Blue Ridge, and Harden Reynolds Elementary Schools, as well as kindergarten and 1<sup>st</sup> grade general music. He advised that he was attending the meeting as a member of the Patrick County Community/Schools Partnership for the Arts Committee and he gave the Board a copy of the committee's three-year plan. Mr. Service advised that he was not asking the Board for funding but for support for this program. He stated that the committee believes that arts enhance the education of students, the quality of life for citizens, and the economy. He gave a powerpoint presentation, which displayed many of the art projects that were created by Patrick County students. He stated that there is a lot going on in the County and that the arts and crafts that were shown in the presentation are just the "tip of the iceberg". The Chairperson stated that the presentation was great.**

**This day came Mildred Martin, Patrick County E-911 Coordinator, to discuss matters pertaining to 911 program. Ms. Martin advised that the E-911 Committee met on August 18<sup>th</sup> and recommended that the Board include an addressing fee of \$19.00 for 911 designation in all building permit fees, and then reimburse the \$19.00 to the E-911 Fund. She reported that the cost for these addresses rose from \$3.50 in 1998 to \$19.00 in 2004. The Chairperson advised that it would need to be made clear that mobile homes are included in this fee. Ms. Martin stated that all new structures are included; anything that gets a new address would be charged \$19.00. She advised that the fee to add a new road is \$84.00 per hour. Ms. Martin noted that a lot of the new structures are retirement homes and the owners use their cell phones instead of installing a phone line; therefore, the County never collects the addressing fee. Mr. Martin asked to whom the County pays these fees and if the company gave any reason for the rise in cost. Ms. Martin stated that MSAG Data Consultants, which addressed the County in 1997, is the firm that collects these fees and that MSAG indicated that their costs have gone up.**

**On motion by Roger L. Martin, seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the Board does hereby approve the attachment of the E-911 Addressing Fees in the amount of \$19.00 for every new address assigned in Patrick County to the Building Permit Fees, which is to be reimbursed to the E-911 Fund.**

**Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young**  
**Voting Nay: None**

**The County Administrator asked the County Attorney if this change would require a revision to the Building Fee Ordinance. Mr. Monday advised that he did not know and that he would have to do some research. He stated that if a revision is necessary, that he would draft an ordinance to pass at the next Board Meeting.**

**County Attorney Eric Helms Monday reported on various issues to the Board. Mr. Monday advised that the ACLU has been suing localities around Virginia that pass ordinances stating that campaign signs can only be erected a certain number of days before an election and then must take them down in a certain amount of time after the election. He stated that the organization has been successful in those suits; all of those have involved ordinances that pertain to the placement of signs before and after the election. Mr. Monday advised that**

he and his colleagues think that an ordinance that requires one to take down signs a certain time period after an election is permissible but regulations cannot be implemented on political signs prior to the election. He noted that this applies only to signs erected on private property and that Patrick County does not have an ordinance concerning these regulations. Mr. Young stated that the Board is not going to pass that type of ordinance. Mr. Martin asked how anyone could tell someone that they cannot put a sign up on private property. Mr. Monday advised that these suits have taken place in northern Virginia counties and that those localities have lost those suits because they do not have the authority to impose that regulation; this is a free speech issue.

The Board recessed for five minutes.

The Board reconvened into regular session.

Michael Burnette, Assistant County Administrator and Economic Development Coordinator stated that he did not have any items to discuss in open session.

The County Administrator reported on the activities of her office for the preceding month, as required by Section 15.2-407 (B), 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended.

a. State Budget Issues: Mrs. Handy presented the Board with a copy of an article from VACo, Virginia Association of Counties, in which the Governor addressed a state budget surplus. She stated that after all of the turmoil that the General Assembly, and then localities, went through to approve a budget, it appeared that the outcome might benefit the State and counties. She advised that the article lists some of the programs that will be assisted by the \$323.8 million in additional revenues, one of which is transportation.

b. Primary Roads Six Year Plan: Mrs. Handy noted that the Board discussed the priority list, which will be taken to the public hearing in Salem, earlier in the meeting. She asked if the Board had any changes that they would like to make to that list. She recommended the following changes to last year's priority list: (1) number one on that list, which is the completion of construction of the U.S. 58 Bypass around the Town of Stuart, has been completed and can be removed; (2) number two could now read: continue to participate in the Public-Private Transportation Act Proposal by Branch Highways to fund and construct the four lane improvement of U.S. 58 from west of the proposed Stuart Bypass to I-77 at Hillsville.

On motion by David G. Young, seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the Board does hereby approve the Primary Roads Six Year Plan with the recommended changes from the County Administrator, which is to be presented at the Public Hearing in Salem, Virginia on September 28, 2004.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

c. Domestic / Sexual Violence Awareness Resolution: The County Administrator advised that the Board is in receipt of a proclamation from Citizens Against Family Violence and that the Board has approved such a proclamation in the past. Mrs. Handy stated that this declaration recognizes the work that has been done by the CAFV and requests that the Board recognize the month of October as the Domestic / Sexual Violence Awareness Month.

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by David G. Young and carried, the Board does hereby recognize the month of October as the Domestic / Sexual Violence Awareness Month.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

**Voting Nay: None**

**d. Procurement Procedures / Committee Report:** Mrs. Handy reported that the Procurement Committee had met recently and that three vendors were agreed upon to service County vehicles at the requested cost that the Board has asked for, which include: Hamm's Garage, Leon Jones Garage, and Stuart Tire. She advised that the committee discussed bulk-purchasing vendors and selected Viking for that service and that the committee reviewed a budget worksheet, which Donna Shough, Bookkeeper, is going to continue to work on and will bring back to the committee for approval. Mrs. Handy said that the committee discussed the setting of a price for tires and creating a vendor list to provide them and the possibility of purchasing new bookkeeping software. Mrs. Handy stated that Mr. Martin and Mr. Young are on that committee and asked if they had any comments. Mr. Young advised that most of the pagers have been eliminated and that the committee is making progress especially with the budget format. He stated that the bookkeeper is very knowledgeable of the bookkeeping format that was proposed by Mr. Martin and that she "has really taken off with this". He noted that this new format would probably be able to tie all of the budgets and spending plans together, make a lot of sense, and will speed up the budget process. The Chairperson asked if the new format would be ready by January. The County Administrator advised that it should be ready well before January so that each department will have time to become familiar with the new format. Mr. Young noted that the Sheriff and the Treasurer have had a lot of input with the new layout. Mr. Martin stated that the bookkeeper has obviously worked on this type information before, and is a work in progress. He said that the Board is spending the people's money and that he was very encouraged by the work that has been done so far.

**e. SRO Position Update:** The County Administrator stated that Mr. Martin and Mr. Young attended a meeting recently concerning the SRO position and that she would let them give a report from the meeting. The Chairperson noted that she had appointed the committee to discuss this issue, which consisted of Board Members Martin and Young, School Board Members Rorrer and Mangrum, and the Sheriff. Mr. Martin stated that the meeting was held at the Fire Department in Meadows of Dan and that they had talked candidly and accomplished a lot. He advised that it must be understood that if a department is going to ask for money, it must be put into a plan ahead of time, not in the middle of the year. He stated that people need to identify their deficiencies, set priorities, and then program this at the right time. He said that in this case, all parties agreed to fund one-third. Mr. Young advised that the following agreement was reached: Board of Supervisors would contribute \$11,000; School Board would contribute \$11,000; and the Sheriff's Department would contribute cash and in-kind contributions (value of car and other supplies needed for that position). He noted that this is to take affect October 1<sup>st</sup> and an officer will be on duty at the high school that day. Mr. Martin noted that this agreement would take place only if the grant for the position does not come through. Mr. Young advised that he and Mr. Martin made it very clear that this request is something that the Board wants to see in the budget in April or May instead of August. He stated that the committee made the decision that the SRO position is a law enforcement position first and most importantly and therefore that position should be totally under the control of the Sheriff's Department and will not take any orders from the school system. The Chairperson clarified that the officer is not to take orders from the school system without prior knowledge of the Sheriff. Mr. Martin stated that the SRO position is strictly under the supervision of the Sheriff and the officer will be driving an official vehicle. Mr. Young stated that the Board does not need to be fighting this battle every year and it was decided at the meeting that the Sheriff would put this position in his budget every year. The Chairperson thanked the committee that had met and resolved this issue.

On motion by David G. Young, seconded by H. Danny Foley, Sr. and carried, the Board does hereby approve the following funding of the SRO Position at the Patrick County High School contingent upon the grant not being awarded to the Patrick County Sheriff's Office and pursuant to the terms that were discussed at the Committee Meeting that was held at the Meadows of Dan Fire Department with the Sheriff, School Board Members Rorrer and Mangrum, and Board Members Martin and Young: Board of Supervisors will contribute \$11,000; School Board will contribute \$11,000; and the Sheriff's Department will contribute

cash and in-kind contributions (value of car and other supplies needed for that position).

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

f. October Meeting Date: The County Administrator noted that the Board had discussed the date for the October meeting earlier in the meeting and reiterated that it will be held on October 18<sup>th</sup> beginning at 2:00pm and the Public Hearing for the Primary Roads Six Year Plan will begin at 7:00pm on that same date.

g. Department Head Reports: The County Administrator presented department head reports for the preceding month, as follows:

(1) Sheriff: The report of Patrick County Sheriff David Hubbard of prisoners confined during the previous month in the County Jail was this day presented, which report was examined as required by Section 53-178, 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, and found to be correct.

(2) Building Inspector: A report of the activities of Jason Brown, County Building Inspector, for the preceding month, including a list of the number of new and used mobile homes for which permits were issued during the past month, was presented and examined. Said reports are approved and ordered filed with the papers of this meeting.

(3) DMV Agent: A report of office activities of Shirley H. Rorrer, Patrick County Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV) Agent, was presented for the Board's information. Said report is noted and ordered filed with the papers of this meeting.

(4) Treasurer: A trial balance of the records of Carolyn I. Tatum, Patrick County Treasurer, as of the last day of the previous month and a statement of depositories made during the same month was submitted. Said report is noted.

(5) Animal Control Officer: A report of the activities of C. Leon Handy, County Animal Control Officer was presented and examined for the preceding month. Said reports are noted and ordered filed with the papers of this meeting.

The Chairperson stated that she had noticed that Leon Handy has to make multiple visits to some residences and that this cost should be passed onto the owners. Mr. Martin suggested charging the owners for these situations. The County Attorney advised that this change would have to be done through an ordinance and asked the Board if they would like for him to draft an ordinance to be presented at the next meeting. The Chairperson said that she would like for him to prepare one. Mr. Young reported that Mr. Handy had visited the same residence four or five times this month. Mr. Monday asked how many visits the Board would like to include in the ordinance. The Chairperson suggested three visits. Mr. Young advised that the Board needs to determine if this charge will be based on how many visits are done within a month or over a longer period of time. Mr. Martin suggested that if Leon has to go out to the same location three times no matter when, then the owner should be charged. Mr. Young stated that there are not many cases of Mr. Handy having to go out two or three times in a single month, but there are a lot of times where he has to go out several times within a year. Mr. Martin said that if Mr. Handy has to go out there once to put cattle back in, the owner should incur that cost, not the taxpayers. The County Attorney advised that he has not looked at other counties but that this is similar to property maintenance and that most localities specify "x" number of visits within a six month period. Mr. Young stated that the County seems to have a problem on occasion and it can tie up a lot of time if the Animal Control Officer is continuously putting up cattle or horses at the same location. Mr. Cockerham asked if putting up cows and horses is a part of the services that the County provides. Mr. Young advised that the problem is that the cattle and horses get into the road. The County Administrator reported that most of the calls are made by someone other than the owner; often the owner of the animals is not known right away.

(6) Maintenance Supervisor: A report of activities of Teddy Doss, Maintenance Supervisor was presented and examined for the preceding month. Said report is noted and filed with the papers of this meeting.

(7) Emergency Management: A report of the activities of

Michael Hudson, Emergency Management Coordinator, was presented and examined for the preceding month. Said report is noted and ordered filed with the papers of this meeting.

The County Administrator advised that Eugene Phillips had Requested an opportunity to come before the Board to discuss abandoned mobile homes in Patrick County; however, due to a health situation, Mr. Phillips was unable to attend today's meeting. She reported that the Chairperson has presented some information from other citizens regarding the same issue. Mrs. Handy read a letter from Curtis and Anita Clark from the Elamsville area requesting that the Board pass an ordinance regulating the abandonment of mobile homes in Patrick County; the letter advised that there are seven abandoned mobile homes near their property, which are depreciating its value. Mr. Martin said that until the Board starts talking seriously about zoning, it would only be trying to solve one problem and then go to the next problem that a few people are "having a fit about". He advised that it would not have to be a zoning ordinance for the City of Roanoke; it can be made to fit Patrick County. He advised that it is the fairest way to solve problems like this, which de-value the neighborhood. Mr. Martin said that nobody wants to talk about zoning, they are afraid that they will be limited on what they can do with private property. Mr. Young advised that all around the County are abandoned houses, which is the same thing as an abandoned mobile home. He asked if the County could force someone to tear down an old home that de-values surrounding property; he noted that trailers are homes as well. He asked if the County could regulate one and not the other. Mr. Martin advised that the County could do that with a zoning ordinance because it applies to all land, but it cannot pass an ordinance that applies to one person's property and not another. The Chairperson advised that someone has purchased the property in which the letter is referring to and that the new owners are trying to have those trailers removed but they have to go through the legal system to have them removed. The County Attorney advised that the Board could pass a trailer specific ordinance and that there are a number of different ways to approach this such as: property maintenance code, zoning code, or a statewide fire prevention code. He stated that he views this as a property maintenance issue and he would be glad to provide some options on how to handle this. The Chairperson noted that the new owners are trying to get rid of the trailers and that the Board needs to try to help them. Mr. Young suggested looking at the State Code, as he did not want to get into zoning in Patrick County just yet. The Chairperson agreed and advised that a real estate agent informed Mr. Phillips that the abandoned trailers are hurting his property value. Mr. Gene Tinker approached the Board and said that there is a house on Belcher Mountain Road that was burned down and it is believed that arson was the cause. He advised that the structure has been standing there for months with charred timbers; he stated that it might still be under investigation. He asked if this situation would fall under this proposed abandonment ordinance. The County Attorney stated that it might fall under that ordinance; it would depend upon the type of ordinance that is passed. Mr. Tinker stated that it would be nice if someone would clear off that structure.

On motion by David G. Young, and seconded by Darrell Cockerham and carried, the Board convened into Executive Session to discuss legal matters [Section 2.2-3711(A)(7), 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended]; prospective business matters [Section 2.2-3711(A)(5), 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended]; personnel matters [Section 2.2-3711(A)(1), 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended].

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, Martin, and Young

Voting Nay: None

Mr. Young left the Board meeting during executive session and was absent for the remainder of the meeting.

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, the Board reconvened into regular session, based upon the following:

WHEREAS, the Patrick County Board of Supervisors has convened an executive meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, and

WHEREAS, Section 2.1-344.1 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such executive meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Patrick County Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that, to the best of each member's knowledge, only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the executive meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Board,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Patrick County Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that, to the best of each member's knowledge, only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the executive meeting to which this certification resolution applies.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

A number of bills and claims against the county were presented and examined. On motion by Darrell Cockerham, and seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, the bills and claims were ordered paid and the following warrants were issued in payment of same:

On the General County Fund: Warrants No. 22424 - 22614

On the General County Fund: Warrants No. 75617 – 75970

On E-911 Communications Fund: Warrants No. 825 – 836

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, and seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, the requests for appropriations are approved, as presented, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Patrick, Virginia, that the following appropriations be, and the same hereby are, made for the month of September, 2004: General County Fund - \$520,000.00; Social Services (VPA) Fund- \$131,589.00; Comprehensive Services Act- \$35,000.00; E911 Communications Fund- \$10,661.83; County School Funds - \$2,000,000.00; TOTAL ALL APPROPRIATIONS- \$2,697,250.83

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, it is hereby ordered that Carolyn I. Tatum, Treasurer, transfer the sum of Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$750,000.00) from the General County Fund to the County School Fund, contingent upon the definite need for funds and availability of funds in the General County Fund.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, the following requests for additional appropriations are hereby approved.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

<u>Department</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>For</u>
Board of Supervisors	\$36.08	Consultant Fees-Akridge Ins.
County Administration	\$320.96	Part-time Salaries
Special Magistrates	\$182.85	Repair & Maintenance
Special Magistrates	\$24.56	Electrical Services
Special Magistrates	\$500.00	Rent – Office Space
Animal Warden	\$715.60	Vehicle & Equip. Supplies
Emergency Management	\$216.57	Vehicle & Equip. Supplies
Transfer Station	\$660.80	Scrap Tire Disposal
Maint. Of Bldgs & Grounds	\$128.73	Hepatitis B Vaccines
Maint. Of Bldgs & Grounds	\$16.83	Telephone
Maint: Nevamar	\$34.38	Electrical Services
Maint: Rotary Field	\$130.27	Water, Sewer, Garbage Ser
Maint: Rotary Field	\$55.00	Rental of Portable Toilets
Nondepartmental	\$56.00	Multi-Peril Insurance
Nondepartmental	\$745.02	State–Local Hospitalization
P.C. Sheriff’s Office	\$100.00	Investigative Equipment (reimbursed by M’ville Police Dept.)
P.C. Sheriff’s Office	\$651.49	Electronic Doors, Camera, etc.

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, the following requests for transfer of funds are hereby approved.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

<u>Department</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Clerk of Circuit Court	\$71.00	Travel (Conv. & Education)	Dues
Comm. Attorney	\$275.00	Capital Outlay: Comp. Equip	Dues
Sheriff’s Office	\$500.00	Misc. Equipment	Training
Sheriff’s Office	\$50.00	Misc. Expenses	Advertising

On motion by Darrell Cockerham, seconded by Roger L. Martin and carried, the Board does hereby approve the employment of Charles Vernon as the Part-time Erosion and Sediment Control Inspector and Plan Reviewer, commencing October 1, 2004.

Voting Aye: Harris, Foley, Cockerham, and Martin

Voting Nay: None

Temporarily Absent: Young

Ordered that the Board be adjourned until a Special Called Meeting to be held later in the month, that date not yet determined.

, Chairperson